## Dications of the 1,2-Dithiole Series and a Stable Thiete
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Oxidation of methylenedithiomalonamides gives rise to 3,5-bis(dimethylamino)-4-methylio-1,2-dithiolium salts, and thiolysis of a dimethyl methylenemalondithioimidate leads to a stable thiete.

Triplet trimethylenemethanes ${ }^{1}$ are potential components of organic ferromagnets and ferromagnetic organic metals. ${ }^{2}$ Stabilization of these notoriously unstable $4 \pi$-electron 'anti-Yaromatic' systems can be achieved by donor-acceptor substitution, ${ }^{3}$ but trimethylenemethane derivatives of this type have singlet ground states. Thio groups also are supposed to stabilize the trimethylenemethane system. ${ }^{4,5}$ Thus, the electrochemical reduction of hexathiotrimethylenemethane dications in which the thio groups are incorporated in 1,3-dithiole rings indicates the formation of stable trimethylenemethane derivatives. ${ }^{4}$ Reduction of trimethylenemethane dication derivatives of the 1,2 -dithiolo[3,4-c]1,2-dithiole series, however, gives rise to products from the opening of one of the 1,2 -dithiole rings. ${ }^{6}$ In order to get more information on the properties and structures of 1,2-dithiole dication salts we tried to synthesize 1,2 -dithioldiylium salts (2) as precursors of trimethylenemethane diradicals.


(1)
a; $R^{1}=R^{2}=R^{3}=M e$
b; $R^{1}-R^{1}=-C_{2} C H_{2}-, R^{2}=R^{3}=M e$
c; $R^{1}-R^{1}=-C H=C(P h)-R^{2}=H, R^{3}=M e$
di $R^{1}=M e, R^{2}=R^{3}=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}-$

(2a)

(2b)

(3)


Methylene dithiomalonamides (1a), ${ }^{5}(\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{d})$ (prepared, like (1a), from $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyldithiomalonamide and dithiomalonopiperidide, respectively, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}$ and treatment of the so formed disodium dithiocarboxylates with ethylene bromide and methyl iodide, respectively), and (1c) (prepared from the sodium salt of $N, N^{\prime}$-dimethyldithiomalonamide and 2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1,3-dithiolylium tetrafluoroborate), can readily be oxidized with nitrosyl tetrafluoroborate to produce dication salts (2a-d) in high yields $[(\mathbf{2 a}) \dagger$


Figure 1. ORTEP view of the structure of (2a), showing the atom labelling scheme. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): C(1)-S(2)$ 1.744, S(1)-S(2) 2.038, C(3)-S(1) 1.753, C(1)-N(1) 1.331, C(3)-N(2) $1.323, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.410, \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.399, \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8) 1.477 ; \mathrm{S}(1)-$ $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2) 113.6, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 118.1, \mathrm{~S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) 95.0, \mathrm{~S}(2)-$ $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3) 96.2, \mathrm{~S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 116.9$; dihedral angle between planes $[\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)]-[\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{S}(4)] 73.62 \pm 0.53$.

[^0]

Figure 2. ORTEP view of the structure of (2b), showing the atom labelling scheme. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): C(1)-S(2)$ 1.746, S(1)-S(2) 2.072, C(3)-S(1) 1.752, C(1)-C(2) 1.442, C(2)-C(3) $1.442, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 1.305, \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2) 1.291, \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4) 1.394, \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ 1.718, C(4)-S(4) 1.706; C(3)-S(1)-S(2) 94.4, C(1)-S(2)-S(1) 95.8, $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2) 114.7, \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) 111.4, \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1) 114.9$; dihedral angle between planes $[\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)]-[\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)-$ C(6)-S(4)] $24.20 \pm 0.67$.
bright orange crystals, $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{MeCN}) 475 \mathrm{~nm} ;(2 b)^{\dagger}$ yellow crystals, $\lambda_{\max } 374 \mathrm{~nm}$; (2c) yellow crystals, $\lambda_{\text {max }} 452 \mathrm{~nm}$; (2d) yellow platelets, $\lambda_{\text {max }} 387 \mathrm{~nm}$ ].

Single crystal $X$-ray analyses demonstrate that there is a marked difference in the structures of (2a) and (2b). Both compounds are not planar. As shown in Figure 1, (2a) displays a dihedral angle of $73.62^{\circ}$ between the planes of the dithiole ring and the bis(methylthio)methylene moiety. Figure 2 demonstrates that in the folded structure ( $\mathbf{2 b}$ ) the twisted 1,3 -dithiolane ring is tilted by $24.20^{\circ}$ to the plane of the 1,2-dithiole ring.

Cyclic voltammetry of $(\mathbf{2 a - c})$ reveals that there are no stable trimethylenemethane diradical intermediates. The reduction is irreversible in terms of cyclic voltammetry, and the starting materials (1) are formed exclusively. The situation is somewhat different with ( $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ ), obtained from ( $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ ) by warming with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate. Cyclic voltammetry of (3a, b) exhibits a reversible wave followed by an irreversible wave.
With the object of preparing a 1,2-dithiolium salt of type (2) with methylthio groups instead of dimethylamino groups, we tried to synthesize the tetrathiomalonate (4). Upon thiolysis of (3d), however, instead of (4), the stable crystalline thiete (5) $\dagger$, m.p. $91-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, is formed in $69 \%$ yield. The parent thiete and its alkyl derivatives are thermally unstable. ${ }^{7}$ 3-Aryl groups stabilize the system ${ }^{7}$ and in a few photochemically synthesized spiro compounds ${ }^{8}$ donor groups at position 2 increase the stability further.

Thermal ring opening to the thermodynamically more stable thioacroleins ${ }^{9}$ is characteristic for thietes. ${ }^{7}$ Therefore, the spontaneous formation of (5) is unexpected [in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum does not allow a clear distinction between (5) and (4)]. As shown in Figure 3, bond distances in the planar thiete ring of (5) are basically the same as those in the parent compound (microwave spectrum), ${ }^{10}$ although the difference in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths is more pronounced.


Figure 3. ORTEP view of the structure of (5), showing the atom labelling scheme. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): C(3)-S(1)$ $1.898, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1) 1.747, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.362, \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.512 ; \mathrm{C}(3)-$ $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 73.9, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1) 99.0, \mathrm{C}(3)-(\mathrm{C} 1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 99.4, \mathrm{C}(1)-$ C(3)-S(1) 87.7.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ Crystal data for (2a): $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}, M=468.13$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=12.676(2), b=14.609(3), c=10.977(2) \AA, \beta=$ $112.41(2)^{\circ}, U=1879.3 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D \mathrm{c}=1.654 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=952, T$ $=294 \pm 1 \mathrm{~K}, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=5.57 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Refinement of 242 parameters using 2546 observables with $F^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ gave residuals $R$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}$ of 0.073 and 0.066 , respectively.

    Crystal data for (2b): $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}, M=466.12$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=12.428(2), b=12.100(3), c=13.006(3) \AA, \beta=$ $106.00(2)^{\circ}, U=1879.9 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.642 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=944, T$ $=294 \pm 1 \mathrm{~K}, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=5.57 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Refinement of 238 parameters using 2515 observables with $F^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ gave residuals $R$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}$ of 0.058 and 0.055 , respectively.

    Crystal data for (5): $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{6}, M=300.57$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / n, a=7.183, b=12.727(3), c=14.628(3) \AA, \beta=99.19(2)^{\circ}, U=$ $1320.1 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.511 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=624, T=294 \pm 1 \mathrm{~K}$, $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=9.59 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Refinement of 127 parameters using 2044 observables with $F^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ gave residuals $R$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}$ of 0.028 and 0.025 , respectively. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

